arXiv:1603.09329v1 [math.PR] 30 Mar 2016

PRICING OCCUPATION-TIME OPTIONS IN A MIXED-EXPONENTIAL JUMP-DIFFUSION MODEL

DJILALI AIT AOUDIA AND JEAN-FRANÇOIS RENAUD

Abstract. In this short paper, in order to price occupation-time options, such as (double-barrier) step options and quantile options, we derive various joint distributions of a mixed-exponential jump-diffusion process and its occupation times of intervals.

1. Introduction

Let the price of an (underlying) asset $S = \{S_t, t \geq 0\}$ be of the form:

$$S_t = S_0 \mathrm{e}^{X_t},$$

where $X = \{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a process to be specified (log-return process). For example, in the Black-Scholes-Merton (BSM) model, X is a Brownian motion with drift. The time spent by S in an interval I, or equivalently the time spent by X in an interval I', from time 0 to time T, is given by

$$A_T^I := \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{S_t \in I\}} \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t \in I'\}} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Options linked to occupation times are often seen as generalized barrier options. Instead of being activated (or canceled) when the underlying asset price crosses a barrier, which is a problem from a risk management point of view, the payoff of occupation-time options will depend on the time spent above/below this barrier: the change of value occurs more gradually. There are several different options: (barrier) step options, corridor derivatives, cumulative(-boost) options, quantile options, (cumulative) Parisian options, etc. For a review, see e.g. [16].

Introduced by Linetsky [13], a (down-and-out call) *step option* admits the following payoff:

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\rho A_T^{L,-}} \left(S_T - K\right)_+ = \mathrm{e}^{-\rho A_T^{L,-}} \left(S_0 \mathrm{e}^{X_T} - K\right)_+,$$

where

$$A_T^{L,-} = \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{S_t \leq L\}} \mathrm{d}t,$$

and where $\rho > 0$ is called the *knock-out rate*. Indeed, it is interesting to note that we have the following relationship:

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_L^- > T\}} \left(S_T - K\right)_+ \leq \mathrm{e}^{-\rho A_T^{L,-}} \left(S_T - K\right)_+ \leq \left(S_T - K\right)_+.$$

Date: March 31, 2016.

Key words and phrases. Path-dependent options, occupation times, jump-diffusion, mixed-exponential distribution.

where $\tau_L^- = \inf\{t \geq 0 \colon S_t \leq L\}$. Later, Davydov and Linetsky [6] studied double-barrier step call options, which are a generalization of double-barrier options (see [8]):

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\rho^- A_T^{L,-} - \rho^+ A_T^{U,+}} (S_T - K)_+ = \mathrm{e}^{-\rho^- A_T^{L,-} - \rho^+ A_T^{U,+}} \left(S_0 \mathrm{e}^{X_T} - K\right)_+,$$

where

$$A_T^{U,+} = \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{S_t \geq U\}} \mathrm{d}t,$$

and where ρ^- and ρ^+ are the knock-out rates. Expressions for the price of double-barrier step options are available in the BSM model and for single-barrier step options in Kou's model for example.

Studied by Fusai [7] in the BSM model (see also the work of Akahori and Takàcs), a *corridor option* admits the following payoff: for $K < T$,

$$\left(A_T^{L,U} - K\right)_+ = \left(\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{h < X_s < H\}} \mathrm{d}s - K\right)_+.$$

If $h = -\infty$, it is called a *hurdle option*. The distribution of this occupation time is linked to Lévy's arc-sine law in the BSM model. Again, expressions for the price of double-barrier corridor options are available in the BSM model and for single-barrier barrier options in Kou's model.

Miura [15] introduced α-quantile options as an extension of lookback options. The α-quantile of the log-return process X is defined, for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, by

$$q(\alpha, T) := \inf\left\{h \colon \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t \leq h\}} \mathrm{d}t > \alpha T\right\}.$$

A fixed-strike α-quantile call option admits the following payoff:

$$\left(S_0 \mathrm{e}^{\gamma q(\alpha, T)} - K\right)_+.$$

When $\alpha = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$, the quantile option is reduced to a lookback option. Indeed, when $\alpha = 0$,

$$q(0, T) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} X_t.$$

In summary, in order to price many of these options, we are interested in the joint distribution of

$$\left(\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{L < S_t < U\}} \mathrm{d}t, S_T\right),$$

or equivalently,

$$\left(\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{h < X_t < H\}} \mathrm{d}t, X_T\right),$$

where $h = \ln(L/S_0)$ and $H = \ln(U/S_0)$.

In Black-Scholes-Merton model, in the constant elasticity of variance (CEV) model and in Kou's model, the *standard* technique for deriving this joint distribution (joint Laplace transform) has been to use the Feynman-Kač formula; see [9], [12] and [2].

Our goal is to price occupation-time options. In doing so, we extend results previously obtained in a nice paper by Cai, Chen and Wan [2]. We extend their results in two directions: by looking

simultaneously at more general functionals of occupation times and a more general jump-diffusion process. For example, in order to price double step options, we derive the joint distribution of

$$\left(\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t<h\}}\mathrm{d}t, \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t>H\}}\mathrm{d}t, X_T\right).$$

We develop a *probabilistic approach* to obtain these distributions in a mixed-exponential jump-diffusion model (MEM), an approach often refered to as the *perturbation approach* and which is in the spirit of Géman & Yor [8]; it is based on a decomposition of the trajectories of the underlying (log-return) process using the solutions to the one-sided and the two-sided exit problems. Our methodology uses extensions of results developed by Cai and Kou [3] (see also [5]). Finally, we answer several open questions from [2]; see e.g. the first paragraph on p. 434 and our Lemma 3.1.

In summary, the contributions of this paper consist in new probabilistic derivations of several joint Laplace(-Carson) transforms of a mixed-exponential jump-diffusion process and its occupation times of an interval, all sampled at a fixed time, and the pricing of occupation-time derivatives such as (double-barrier) step options and α-quantile options in a mixed-exponential model. The objectives are as in [2], but in a more general model and with a different methodology.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the mixed-exponential jump-diffusion process and some of its elementary properties. In Section 3, we present our main theoretical results on occupation times involving the mixed-exponential jump-diffusion process; the proofs are left for the Appendix. Finally, in Section 4, we use the results of Section 3 to derive Laplace transforms of the price for various occupation-time options.

2. The mixed-exponential jump-diffusion process

A Lévy jump-diffusion process $X = \{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ is defined as

$$X_t = X_0 + \mu t + \sigma W_t + \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} Y_i,$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \geq 0$ represent the drift and volatility of the diffusion part respectively, $W = \{W_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a (standard) Brownian motion, $N = \{N_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a homogeneous Poisson process with rate λ and $\{Y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ are independent and identically distributed random variables. These quantities are mutually independent. When $\sigma > 0$, the infinitesimal generator of X acts on functions $h \in \mathcal{C}_0^2(\mathbb{R})$ and is given by

$$\mathcal{L}h(x) = \mu h'(x) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}h''(x) + \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (h(x+y) - h(x))\, f_Y(y)\mathrm{d}y. \tag{1}$$

When $\sigma = 0$, the function h needs only to be once differentiable.

In a jump-diffusion market model, the dynamic of the asset price S is given under the risk-neutral measure $\mathbb{P}$ by:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_t}{S_{t-}} = r\mathrm{d}t + \sigma \mathrm{d}W_t + \mathrm{d}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \left(\mathrm{e}^{Y_i} - 1\right)\right),$$

where $r > 0$ is the risk-free rate. Solving this stochastic differential equation, one obtains

$$S_t = S_0 \mathrm{e}^{X_t} = S_0 \exp\left\{\mu t + \sigma W_t + \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} Y_i\right\},$$

where $\mu = r - \sigma^2/2 - \lambda\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{Y_1}\right] - 1\right)$. Clearly, for that purpose, we will need to assume that the Y_i's have a finite moment generating function.

In the pioneer work of Merton [14], the common distribution of the Y_i's is chosen to be a normal distribution, while in [11] it is a double-exponential distribution, i.e. the common probability density function (pdf) is given by

$$f_Y(y) = p\eta \mathrm{e}^{-\eta y}\mathbf{1}_{\{y\geq 0\}} + (1-p)\theta \mathrm{e}^{\theta y}\mathbf{1}_{\{y<0\}},$$

where $0 < p < 1$, $\eta > 0$ and $\theta > 0$. When the jumps sizes are hyper-exponentially distributed, their common pdf is given by

$$f_Y(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i\eta_i \mathrm{e}^{-\eta_i y}\mathbf{1}_{\{y\geq 0\}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j\theta_j \mathrm{e}^{\theta_j y}\mathbf{1}_{\{y<0\}}, \tag{2}$$

where $p_i, q_j > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and such that $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i + \sum_{j=1}^n q_i = 1$, and where $\eta_1 < \ldots < \eta_m$ and $\theta_1 < \ldots < \theta_n$, then X is said to be a hyper-exponential jump-diffusion (HEJD) process and the market model is called the hyper-exponential model (HEM).

We will use a slightly more general, and thus more flexible, jump distribution: the mixed-exponential distribution. In this case, the common pdf is given by

$$f_Y(y) = p_u \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i\eta_i \mathrm{e}^{-\eta_i y}\mathbf{1}_{\{y\geq 0\}} + q_d \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j\theta_j \mathrm{e}^{\theta_j y}\mathbf{1}_{\{y<0\}}, \tag{3}$$

where $p_u, q_d \geq 0$ and $p_u + q_d = 1$, where now $p_i, q_j \in (-\infty, \infty)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 1$, $\sum_{j=1}^n q_i = 1$, and where again $\eta_1 < \ldots < \eta_m$ and $\theta_1 < \ldots < \theta_n$. The resulting jump-diffusion process X is said to be a mixed-exponential jump-diffusion (MEJD) process and the market model is called the mixed-exponential model (MEM).

The MEM is a financial model fitting the data quite well and still being very tractable; for more information, see [3]. One of the main feature is probably that the mixed-exponential distribution can approximate any jump distribution (in the sense of weak convergence). See the paper by Cai and Kou [3] for more information on this process.

Throughout the rest of the paper, the law of X such that $X_0 = x$ is denoted by $\mathbb{P}_x$ and the corresponding expectation by $\mathbb{E}_x$; we write $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{E}$ when $x = 0$. The Lévy exponent of a MEJD X is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G(\zeta) &= \frac{\ln \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\zeta X_t)\right]}{t} \\ &= \mu\zeta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\zeta^2 + \lambda\left(p_u \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{p_i\eta_i}{\eta_i - \zeta} + q_d \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_i\theta_i}{\theta_i + \zeta} - 1\right), \end{aligned}$$

for any $\zeta \in (-\theta_1, \eta_1)$. Then, clearly, the trend of the process is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_1\right] = G'(0+) = \mu + \lambda\left(p_u \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{p_i}{\eta_i} - q_d \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{q_j}{\theta_j}\right).$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the function $\zeta \mapsto G(\zeta) - \alpha$ has at most $n + m + 2$ real roots. It can be shown (see [3, Theorem 3.1]) that, for a sufficiently large $\alpha > 0$, the corresponding Cramér-Lundberg

equation $G(\zeta) = \alpha$ has exactly $n + m + 2$ distinct real roots; there are $m + 1$ positive roots denoted by $\beta_{1,\alpha}, \ldots, \beta_{m+1,\alpha}$ and $n + 1$ negative roots $\gamma_{1,\alpha}, \ldots, \gamma_{n+1,\alpha}$, satisfying

$$0 < \beta_{1,\alpha} < \eta_1 < \beta_{2,\alpha} < \ldots < \eta_m < \beta_{m+1,\alpha} < \infty,$$
$$-\infty < \gamma_{n+1,\alpha} < -\theta_n < \gamma_{n,\alpha} < \ldots < \gamma_{2,\alpha} < \theta_1 < \gamma_{1,\alpha} < 0.$$

Finally, let $S = m+n+2$ and define $\overrightarrow{\rho_\alpha} = (\rho_{1,\alpha}, \ldots, \rho_{S,\alpha}) = (\beta_{1,\alpha}, \ldots, \beta_{m+1,\alpha}, \gamma_{1,\alpha}, \ldots, \gamma_{n+1,\alpha})$, the vector containing all the roots.

Assumption 2.1. *For the rest of the paper, we assume that, for a given value of α, the Cramér-Lundberg equation $G(\zeta) = \alpha$ has exactly $n + m + 2$ distinct real solutions as above.*

Remark 2.1. *In the case of a HEJD, a detailed study of the roots is undertaken in* [1].

2.1. **First passage and two-sided exit problems.** For $b \in \mathbb{R}$, define the first passage times $\tau_b^+ = \inf\{t \geq 0 \colon X_t > b\}$ and $\tau_b^- = \inf\{t \geq 0 \colon X_t < b\}$, with the convention $\inf \emptyset = \infty$.

Consider two barrier levels h and H such that $h < H$. It has been shown in [3, Theorem 3.3] that, for any sufficiently large $\alpha > 0$, $\theta < \eta_1$ and $x < h$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tau_h^+ + \theta X_{\tau_h^+}} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} c_i \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha} x},$$

where $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{m+1})$ is a vector of constants (uniquely determined by a nonsingular linear system). It should be pointed out here that the coefficients depend on α, θ and h, as well as the parameters of the process (explicitly and implicitly).

Recently, in [5, Theorem 2.5], a very similar result using the same method of proof as in [3, Theorem 3.3] has been obtained for the case of a HEJD (not a MEJD) process. It has been shown that, for $\alpha \geq 0$ (and for $\sigma > 0$), a nonnegative bounded function $g(\cdot)$ on $(h, H)^c$ and $h < x < H$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\left(\tau_H^+ \wedge \tau_h^-\right)} g\left(X_{\tau_H^+ \wedge \tau_h^-} \right) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{S} b_i \mathrm{e}^{\rho_i x},$$

where $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_S)$ is a vector of constants also to be determined.

We now provide slight extensions of the abovementioned results. The proof is left to the reader; it follows the same steps as in the proof of [3, Theorem 3.3] and [5, Theorem 2.5].

Theorem 1. *Let X be a MEJD process. Under Assumption 2.1 for a given value of $\alpha > 0$, and for a nonnegative and bounded real-valued function $g(\cdot)$, we have:*

(1) *for $x < H$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tau_H^+} g\left(X_{\tau_H^+} \right) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \omega_i \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha} x},$$

where $\overrightarrow{\omega} = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_{m+1})$ is a vector (uniquely) determined by the following linear system:

$$A^{H,\alpha} \overrightarrow{\omega} = J^{H,g},$$

where $A^{H,\alpha}$ *is an* $(m+1)\times(m+1)$ *nonsingular matrix given by*

$$A^{H,\alpha}=\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{1,\alpha}H} & \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{2,\alpha}H} & \cdots & \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{m+1,\alpha}H} \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_1-\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{2,\alpha}H}}{\eta_1-\beta_{2,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{m+1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_1-\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_2-\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{2,\alpha}H}}{\eta_2-\beta_{2,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{m+1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_2-\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_m-\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{2,\alpha}H}}{\eta_m-\beta_{2,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{m+1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_m-\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} \end{pmatrix},$$

and where $J^{H,g}$ *is an* $(m+1)$*-dimensional vector given by*

$$\left(g(H+),\mathrm{e}^{\eta_1 H}\int_H^\infty g(y)\mathrm{e}^{-\eta_1 y}\mathrm{d}y,\mathrm{e}^{\eta_2 H}\int_H^\infty g(y)\mathrm{e}^{-\eta_2 y}\mathrm{d}y,\ldots,\mathrm{e}^{\eta_m H}\int_H^\infty g(y)\mathrm{e}^{-\eta_m y}\mathrm{d}y\right).$$

(2) *for* $x>h$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tau_h^-}g\left(X_{\tau_h^-}\right)\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\nu_i\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{i,\alpha}x},$$

where $\overrightarrow{\nu}=(\nu_1,\nu_2,\ldots,\nu_{n+1})$ *is a vector (uniquely) determined by the following linear system:*

$$A^{h,\alpha}\overrightarrow{\nu}=J^{h,g},$$

where $A^{h,\alpha}$ *is an* $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ *nonsingular matrix given by*

$$A^{h,\alpha}=\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{1,\alpha}h} & \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{2,\alpha}h} & \cdots & \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}h} \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_1+\gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{2,\alpha}h}}{\theta_1+\gamma_{2,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_1+\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_2+\gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{2,\alpha}h}}{\theta_2+\gamma_{2,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_2+\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_n+\gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{2,\alpha}h}}{\theta_n+\gamma_{2,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_n+\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} \end{pmatrix},$$

and where $J^{h,g}$ *is an* $(n+1)$*-dimensional vector given by*

$$\left(g(h-),\mathrm{e}^{-\theta_1 h}\int_{-\infty}^h g(y)\mathrm{e}^{\theta_1 y}\mathrm{d}y,\mathrm{e}^{-\theta_2 h}\int_{-\infty}^h g(y)\mathrm{e}^{\theta_2 y}\mathrm{d}y,\ldots,\mathrm{e}^{-\theta_n h}\int_{-\infty}^h g(y)\mathrm{e}^{\theta_n y}\mathrm{d}y\right).$$

(3) *for* $h<x<H$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\left(\tau_H^+\wedge\tau_h^-\right)}g\left(X_{\tau_H^+\wedge\tau_h^-}\right)\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}\omega_i\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha}x}+\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\nu_i\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{i,\alpha}x}=\sum_{i=1}^{S}Q_i\mathrm{e}^{\rho_{i,\alpha}x},$$

where $\mathbf{Q}=(Q_1,Q_2,\ldots,Q_S)=(\omega_1,\omega_2,\ldots,\omega_{m+1},\nu_1,\nu_2,\ldots,\nu_{n+1})$ *is a vector (uniquely) determined by the following linear system:*

$$A^{h,H,\alpha}\mathbf{Q}=J^{h,H,g},$$

where $A^{h,H,\alpha}$ is an $S\times S$ nonsingular matrix given by

$$A^{h,H,\alpha}=\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{1,\alpha}h} & \dots & \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{m+1,\alpha}h} & \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{1,\alpha}h} & \dots & \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}h} \\ \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{1,\alpha}H} & \dots & \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{m+1,\alpha}H} & \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{1,\alpha}H} & \dots & \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}H} \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_1+\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \dots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{m+1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_1+\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_1+\gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \dots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_1+\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_n+\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \dots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{m+1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_n+\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_n+\gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \dots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_n+\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_1-\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \dots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{m+1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_1-\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_1-\gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \dots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_1-\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_m-\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \dots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{m+1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_m-\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_m-\gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \dots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_m-\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{\rho_{1,\alpha}h} & \mathrm{e}^{\rho_{2,\alpha}h} & \dots & \mathrm{e}^{\rho_{S,\alpha}h} \\ \mathrm{e}^{\rho_{1,\alpha}H} & \mathrm{e}^{\rho_{2,\alpha}H} & \dots & \mathrm{e}^{\rho_{S,\alpha}H} \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\rho_{1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_1+\rho_{1,\alpha}} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\rho_{2,\alpha}h}}{\theta_1+\rho_{2,\alpha}} & \dots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\rho_{S,\alpha}h}}{\theta_1+\rho_{S,\alpha}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\rho_{1,\alpha}h}}{\theta_n+\rho_{1,\alpha}} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\rho_{2,\alpha}h}}{\theta_n+\rho_{2,\alpha}} & \dots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\rho_{S,\alpha}h}}{\theta_n+\rho_{S,\alpha}} \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\rho_{1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_1-\rho_{1,\alpha}} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\rho_{2,\alpha}H}}{\eta_1-\rho_{2,\alpha}} & \dots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\rho_{S,\alpha}H}}{\eta_1-\rho_{S,\alpha}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\rho_{1,\alpha}H}}{\eta_m-\rho_{1,\alpha}} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\rho_{2,\alpha}H}}{\eta_m-\rho_{2,\alpha}} & \dots & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\rho_{S,\alpha}H}}{\eta_m-\rho_{S,\alpha}} \end{pmatrix},$$

and where $J^{h,H,g}$ is an S-dimensional vector given by

$$J^{h,H,g}=\left(J^{H,g},J^{h,g}\right).$$

Clearly, by the definition of the stopping times, if $x>h$ (resp. $x<H$), then

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tau_h^+}g\left(X_{\tau_h^+}\right)\right]=g(x)\quad\left(\text{resp.}\quad\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tau_H^-}g\left(X_{\tau_H^-}\right)\right]=g(x)\right),$$

and, if $x<h$ or $x>H$, then

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\left(\tau_H^+\wedge\tau_h^-\right)}g\left(X_{\tau_H^+\wedge\tau_h^-}\right)\right]=g(x).$$

3. Our main results

Our first objective is to obtain the joint distribution of

$$\left(\int_0^T\mathbf{1}_{\{h<X_t<H\}}\mathrm{d}t,X_T\right),$$

for a given $T>0$. In order to do so, we will compute the following joint Laplace-Carson transform with respect to T: for each $x\in\mathbb{R}$, set

$$w(x;h,H,\alpha,\rho,\gamma):=\int_0^\infty\alpha\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha T}\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\rho\int_0^T\mathbf{1}_{\{h<X_t<H\}}\mathrm{d}t+\gamma X_T}\right]\mathrm{d}T,\tag{4}$$

where $\alpha > 0$, $\rho \geq 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly, we have

$$w(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathrm{e}^{-\rho \int_0^{\mathbf{e}_\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{\{h<X_t<H\}} \mathrm{d}t + \gamma X_{\mathbf{e}_\alpha}} \right],$$

where $\mathbf{e}_\alpha$ is an exponentially distributed random variable (independent of X) with mean $1/\alpha$.

Here is our main result.

Theorem 2. *For any $0 \leq \gamma < \min(\eta_1, \theta_1), \rho > 0$ and $G(\gamma) < \alpha$, we have*

$$\int_0^\infty \alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha T} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathrm{e}^{-\rho \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{h<X_t<H\}} \mathrm{d}t + \gamma X_T} \right] \mathrm{d}T$$
$$= \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \omega_i^L \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha}(x-h)} - c_L \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}, & x \leq h, \\ -\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \omega_i^0 \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}(x-H)} - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \nu_j^0 \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{j,\alpha+\rho}(x-h)} - c_0 \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}, & h < x < H, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \nu_j^U \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{j,\alpha}(x-H)} - c_U \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}, & x \geq H, \end{cases}$$

where

$$c_L = c_U = \frac{\alpha}{G(\gamma) - \alpha}, \qquad c_0 = \frac{\alpha}{G(\gamma) - (\alpha + \rho)}.$$

The vector of coefficients

$$Q = \left(\omega_i^L; \omega_i^0; \nu_j^0; \nu_j^U; i = 1, \ldots, m+1; j = 1, \ldots, n+1 \right)$$

satisfies a linear system

$$BQ = V. \tag{5}$$

Here V is a $2S$-dimensional vector,

$$V = (c_U - c_0) \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

where the S-dimensional column vectors V_1 and V_2 are given by

$$V_1 = \left(\mathrm{e}^{\gamma h}, \gamma \mathrm{e}^{\gamma h}, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma h}}{\eta_1 - \gamma}, \cdots, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma h}}{\eta_m - \gamma}, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma h}}{\theta_1 + \gamma}, \cdots, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma h}}{\theta_n + \gamma} \right)$$
$$V_2 = \left(\mathrm{e}^{\gamma H}, \gamma \mathrm{e}^{\gamma H}, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma H}}{\eta_1 - \gamma}, \cdots, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma H}}{\eta_m - \gamma}, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma H}}{\theta_1 + \gamma}, \cdots, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma H}}{\theta_n + \gamma} \right)$$

and B is a $2S \times 2S$ matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} M & NZ_\beta \\ MZ_\gamma & N \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

where Z_β and Z_γ are $S \times S$ diagonal matrices with elements

$$\left\{ 0, \ldots, 0, \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{1,\alpha+\rho}(h-H)}, \ldots, \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{m+1,\alpha+\rho}(h-H)} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ 0, \ldots, 0, \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{1,\alpha+\rho}(h-H)}, \ldots, \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{n+1,\alpha+\rho}(h-H)} \right\},$$

respectively, and where M and N are given by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \beta_{1,\alpha} & \cdots & \beta_{m+1,\alpha} & -\gamma_{1,\alpha+\rho} & \cdots & -\gamma_{n+1,\alpha+\rho} \\ \frac{1}{\eta_1-\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_1-\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} & \frac{1}{\eta_1+\gamma_{1,\alpha+\rho}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_1+\gamma_{n+1,\alpha+\rho}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\eta_m-\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_m-\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} & \frac{1}{\eta_m+\gamma_{1,\alpha+\rho}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_m+\gamma_{n+1,\alpha+\rho}} \\ \frac{1}{\theta_1+\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_1+\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} & \frac{1}{\theta_1-\gamma_{1,\alpha+\rho}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_1-\gamma_{n+1,\alpha+\rho}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\theta_n+\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_n+\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} & \frac{1}{\theta_n-\gamma_{1,\alpha+\rho}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_n-\gamma_{n+1,\alpha+\rho}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -\gamma_{1,\alpha} & \cdots & -\gamma_{n+1,\alpha} & \beta_{1,\alpha+\rho} & \cdots & \beta_{m+1,\alpha+\rho} \\ \frac{1}{\eta_1+\gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_1+\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} & \frac{1}{\eta_1-\beta_{1,\alpha+\rho}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_1-\beta_{m+1,\alpha+\rho}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\eta_m+\gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_n+\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} & \frac{1}{\eta_m-\beta_{1,\alpha+\rho}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_m-\beta_{m+1,\alpha+\rho}} \\ \frac{1}{\theta_1-\gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_1-\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} & \frac{1}{\theta_1+\beta_{1,\alpha+\rho}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_1+\beta_{m+1,\alpha+\rho}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\theta_n-\gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_n-\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} & \frac{1}{\theta_n+\beta_{1,\alpha+\rho}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_n+\beta_{m+1,\alpha+\rho}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order for the last Theorem to yield an explicit result, we must show that the linear system in (5) is solvable:

Lemma 3.1. *Under Assumption 2.1, for a given value of $\alpha > 0$, the matrix B given in* (7) *is invertible.*

Proof. Let $S = m + n + 2$ and assume that $BC = 0$ for some vector $C = (C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{2S})$. Consider the function $V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{2S} C_i \mathrm{e}^{\rho_i x}$ for $x \in (h, H)$, and $V(x) = 0$ otherwise, with $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{2S}$ be the distinct real zeros of the equation $G(x) = \alpha$. Since $BC = 0$ and $V(x)$ is a solution to the boundary value problem

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \left(\mathcal{L} - \alpha - \rho \mathbf{1}_{\{h<x<H\}}\right) \phi(x) = 0 & x \in (h, H), \\ \phi(x) = 0 & x \in (-\infty, h] \cup [H, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

From the uniqueness of the solution to the boundary value problem (8), $V(x) \equiv 0$ on (h, H). Now, since $\{\mathrm{e}^{\rho_i x}, \leq i \leq 2S\}$ are linearly independent then $C = 0$ and B is invertible. □

Using the same methodology as in the proof of Theorem 2, we can prove the following result.

Theorem 3. *For any $0 \leq \gamma < \min(\eta_1, \theta_1), \rho_1 > 0, \rho_2 > 0$ and $G(\gamma) < \alpha$, we have for $h < H$,*

$$\int_0^\infty \alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha T} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathrm{e}^{-\rho_1 \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t \leq h\}} \mathrm{d}t - \rho_2 \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t \geq H\}} \mathrm{d}t + \gamma X_T} \right] \mathrm{d}T \tag{9}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \omega_i^L \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho_1}(x-h)} - c_L \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}, & x \leq h, \\ -\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \omega_i^0 \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha}(x-H)} - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \nu_j^0 \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{j,\alpha}(x-h)} - c_0 \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}, & h < x < H, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \nu_j^U \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{j,\alpha+\rho_2}(x-H)} - c_U \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}, & x \geq H, \end{cases}$$

where

$$c_L = \frac{\alpha}{G(\gamma) - (\alpha + \rho_1)}, \quad c_0 = \frac{\alpha}{G(\gamma) - \alpha}, \quad \text{and} \quad c_U = \frac{\alpha}{G(\gamma) - (\alpha + \rho_2)}.$$

The vector of coefficients

$$Q' = \left(\omega_i^L; w_i^0; \nu_j^0; \nu_j^U; i = 1, \ldots, m+1; j = 1, \ldots, n+1 \right)$$

satisfies a linear system

$$B'Q' = V.$$

Here V is the vector defined in (6), B' is a $2S \times 2S$ matrix given by

$$B' = \begin{pmatrix} M' & N'Z_\beta \\ M'Z_\gamma & N' \end{pmatrix},$$

where Z_β and Z_γ are $S \times S$ diagonal matrices with elements

$$\left\{ 0, \ldots, 0, \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{1,\alpha}(h-H)}, \ldots, \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{m+1,\alpha}(h-H)} \right\},$$

and

$$\left\{ 0, \ldots, 0, \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{1,\alpha}(h-H)}, \ldots, \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{n+1,\alpha}(h-H)} \right\},$$

respectively, and where M' and N' are given by

$$M' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \beta_{1,\alpha+\rho_1} & \cdots & \beta_{m+1,\alpha+\rho_1} & -\gamma_{1,\alpha} & \cdots & -\gamma_{n+1,\alpha} \\ \frac{1}{\eta_1 - \beta_{1,\alpha+\rho_1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_1 - \beta_{m+1,\alpha+\rho_1}} & \frac{1}{\eta_1 + \gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_1 + \gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\eta_m - \beta_{1,\alpha+\rho_1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_m - \beta_{m+1,\alpha+\rho_1}} & \frac{1}{\eta_m + \gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_m + \gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} \\ \frac{1}{\theta_1 + \beta_{1,\alpha+\rho_1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_1 + \beta_{m+1,\alpha+\rho_1}} & \frac{1}{\theta_1 - \gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_1 - \gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\theta_n + \beta_{1,\alpha+\rho_1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_n + \beta_{m+1,\alpha+\rho_1}} & \frac{1}{\theta_n - \gamma_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_n - \gamma_{n+1,\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$N' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -\gamma_{1,\alpha+\rho_2} & \cdots & -\gamma_{n+1,\alpha+\rho_2} & \beta_{1,\alpha} & \cdots & \beta_{m+1,\alpha} \\ \frac{1}{\eta_1+\gamma_{1,\alpha+\rho_2}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_1+\gamma_{n+1,\alpha+\rho_2}} & \frac{1}{\eta_1-\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_1-\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\eta_m+\gamma_{1,\alpha+\rho_2}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_m+\gamma_{n+1,\alpha+\rho_2}} & \frac{1}{\eta_m-\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_m-\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} \\ \frac{1}{\theta_1-\gamma_{1,\alpha+\rho_2}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_1-\gamma_{n+1,\alpha+\rho_2}} & \frac{1}{\theta_1+\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_1+\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\theta_n-\gamma_{1,\alpha+\rho_2}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_n-\gamma_{n+1,\alpha+\rho_2}} & \frac{1}{\theta_n+\beta_{1,\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_n+\beta_{m+1,\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In Theorem 3, if we let $H \to h$, then it greatly simplifies the expression:

Corollary 1. *Given the constants $\rho_1 > 0, \rho_2 > 0, \gamma \geq 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that $\gamma < \min(\eta_1, \theta_1)$ and $G(\gamma) < \alpha$, we have*

$$\int_0^\infty \alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha T} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathrm{e}^{-\rho_1 \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_s \leq h\}} \mathrm{d}s - \rho_2 \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_s \geq h\}} + \gamma X_T} \right] \mathrm{d}T$$
$$= \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \omega_i \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho_1}(x-h)} - c_1 \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}, & x \leq h, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \nu_j \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{j,\alpha+\rho_2}(x-h)} - c_2 \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}, & x > h, \end{cases}$$

where

$$c_1 = \frac{\alpha}{G(\gamma) - (\alpha + \rho_1)}, \quad c_2 = \frac{\alpha}{G(\gamma) - (\alpha + \rho_2)}.$$

For $i = 1, \ldots, m+1$,

$$\omega_i = \frac{\prod_{j=1, j\neq i}^{m+1} (\beta_{j,\alpha+\rho_1} - \gamma) \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (-\gamma_{k,\alpha+\rho_2} - \gamma) \prod_{j=1}^{m} (\eta_j - \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho_1}) \prod_{k=1}^{n} (\theta_k + \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho_1})}{\prod_{j=1, j\neq i}^{m+1} (\beta_{j,\alpha+\rho_1} - \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho_1}) \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (-\gamma_{k,\alpha+\rho_2} - \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho_1}) \prod_{j=1}^{m} (\eta_j - \gamma) \prod_{k=1}^{n} (\theta_k + \gamma)} c_{12},$$

and, for $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$,

$$\nu_i = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m+1} (\beta_{j,\alpha+\rho_1} - \gamma) \prod_{k=1, k\neq i}^{n+1} (-\gamma_{k,\alpha+\rho_2} - \gamma) \prod_{j=1}^{m} (\eta_j + \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho_2}) \prod_{k=1}^{n} (\theta_k - \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho_2})}{\prod_{j=1}^{m+1} (\beta_{j,\alpha+\rho_1} + \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho_2}) \prod_{k=1, k\neq i}^{n+1} (-\gamma_{k,\alpha+\rho_2} + \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho_2}) \prod_{j=1}^{m} (\eta_j - \gamma) \prod_{k=1}^{n} (\theta_k + \gamma)} c_{12},$$

with $c_{12} = c_1 - c_2$.

Proof. By Gauss elimination, we can show that the determinant of

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_S \\ \frac{1}{\eta_1 - a_1} & \frac{1}{\eta_1 - a_2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_1 - a_S} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\eta_m - a_1} & \frac{1}{\eta_m - a_2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\eta_m - a_S} \\ \frac{1}{\theta_1 + a_1} & \frac{1}{\theta_1 + a_2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_1 + a_S} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\theta_n + a_1} & \frac{1}{\theta_n + a_2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta_n + a_S} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $S = m + n + 2$, is given by

$$\det(A) = -\frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\eta_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\theta_j)\prod_{1\le i<j\le S}(a_i - a_j)}{\prod_{1\le i\le S, 1\le j\le S}(\prod_{1\le k\le m}(\eta_k - a_i)\prod_{1\le l\le n}(\theta_l + a_j))}.$$

If $\det(A) \neq 0$, then the matrix A is invertible and, for the column vector

$$\Delta = \left(1, \gamma, \frac{1}{\eta_1 - \gamma}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\eta_m - \gamma}, \frac{1}{\theta_1 + \gamma}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\theta_n + \gamma}\right),$$

the linear system $AY = \Delta$ has a unique solution $Y^* = (y_1^*, \ldots, y_S^*)$, where, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, S$,

$$y_i^* = \frac{\prod_{j\neq i}(a_j - \gamma)\prod_{k=1}^{m}(\eta_k - a_i)\prod_{l=1}^{n}(\theta_k + a_i)}{\prod_{j\neq i}(a_j - a_i)\prod_{k=1}^{m}(\eta_k - \gamma)\prod_{l=1}^{n}(\theta_k + \gamma)}.$$

Applying the above to Theorem 3 when $H \to h$ completes the proof. □

4. Occupation-time option pricing

We now show how our theoretical results can be easily applied to the pricing of various occupation-time options. The idea is to obtained explicit expressions for (double) Laplace transforms of option prices, which can then be inverted using well-known and well-studied Laplace inversion techniques to get numerical prices; see e.g. [10] and [3] and the references therein. Note that using this methodology, together with the results of Section 3, many other (and more complicated) occupation-time derivatives could be analyzed.

4.1. Step and double-barrier step options.

As already mentioned in the Introduction, a (down-and-out call) step option admits the following payoff:

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\rho\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{S_t\le L\}}\mathrm{d}t}(S_T - K)^+ = \mathrm{e}^{-\rho\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t\le \ln(L/S_0)\}}\mathrm{d}t}\left(S_0\mathrm{e}^{X_T} - K\right)^+.$$

Then, its price can be written as

$$C^{\mathrm{step}}(k,T) := \mathrm{e}^{-rT}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\rho\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t\le \ln(L/S_0)\}}\mathrm{d}t}\left(S_0\mathrm{e}^{X_T} - \mathrm{e}^{-k}\right)^+\right],$$

where $k = -\ln(K)$. Following Carr and Madan's approach for vanilla options, as in [4] (see also [10] and [3]), we can easily compute the double Laplace transform of $C^{\mathrm{step}}(k,T)$:

$$\int_0^\infty\int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha T-\beta k}C^{\mathrm{step}}(k,T)\mathrm{d}k\mathrm{d}T = \mathrm{e}^{-rT}\frac{S_0^{\beta+1}w\left(\ln(S_0); -\infty, \ln(L/S_0), \alpha, \rho, \beta+1\right)}{\alpha\beta(\beta+1)},$$

where $w(x; h, H, \alpha, \rho, \gamma)$ is given by Theorem 2. Note that the double Laplace transform of delta of the latter option can be easily obtained from the above.

Recall that the payoff of a double-barrier step option is given by

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\rho^-\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{S_t\le L\}}\mathrm{d}t - \rho^+\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{S_t\ge U\}}\mathrm{d}t}(S_T - K)_+,$$

where ρ^- and ρ^+ are the knock-out rates. Then, its price can be written as

$$C^{\mathrm{double}}(k,T) := \mathrm{e}^{-rT}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\rho^-\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t\le \ln(L/S_0)\}}\mathrm{d}t - \rho^+\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t\ge \ln(U/S_0)\}}\mathrm{d}t}\left(S_0\mathrm{e}^{X_T} - \mathrm{e}^{-k}\right)^+\right],$$

where $k = -\ln(K)$. Again, we can easily compute its double Laplace transform:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha T-\beta k} C^{\mathrm{double}}(k,T)\mathrm{d}k\mathrm{d}T = \mathrm{e}^{-rT}\frac{S_0^{\beta+1} w\left(\ln(S_0); \ln(L/S_0), \ln(U/S_0)\alpha, \rho^-, \rho^+, \beta+1\right)}{\alpha\beta(\beta+1)},$$

where an explicit expression for

$$w(x; h, H, \alpha, \rho^-, \rho^+, \gamma) = \int_0^\infty \alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha T}\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\rho_1\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t\le h\}}\mathrm{d}t - \rho_2\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t\ge H\}}\mathrm{d}t + \gamma X_T}\right]\mathrm{d}T$$

is given by Theorem 3.

4.2. **Quantile options.** Recall from the Introduction that a fixed-strike α-quantile call option admits the following payoff: for $0 \le \alpha \le 1$,

$$\left(S_0\mathrm{e}^{\gamma q(\alpha,T)} - K\right)^+,$$

where

$$q(\alpha, T) = \inf\left\{h\colon \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{X_t\le h\}}\mathrm{d}t > \alpha T\right\}.$$

For any $0 \le \upsilon \le T$, the price of this α-quantile call option can be written as

$$C^{\mathrm{quantile}}(\upsilon, T) = \mathrm{e}^{-rT}\mathbb{E}\left[(S_0\mathrm{e}^{\lambda q(\upsilon/T,T)} - K)_+\right].$$

Then, the double Laplace transform of $C^{\mathrm{quantile}}(\upsilon, T)$ is given by

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha T-\rho\upsilon} C^{\mathrm{quantile}}(\upsilon,T)\mathbf{1}_{\{\upsilon<T\}}\mathrm{d}T\mathrm{d}\upsilon$$
$$= \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{\lambda K}{\rho}\frac{\omega_i}{\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}-\lambda}(S_0/K)^{(\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}+\lambda)/\lambda} & \text{if } K \le S_0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{\lambda K}{\rho}\frac{\omega_i}{\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}-\lambda}(S_0/K)^{(\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}+\lambda)/\lambda} & \\ \quad -\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\frac{\lambda K}{\rho}\frac{\nu_j}{\gamma_{j,\alpha}+\lambda}(1-(S_0/K)^{(\gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}+\lambda)/\lambda}) + \frac{(S_0-K)}{\alpha(\alpha+\rho)} & \text{if } K < S_0, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\omega_i, i = 1, \ldots, m+1\}$ and $\{\nu_j, j = 1, \ldots, n+1\}$ are given by Theorem 2.

5. Acknowledgements

Funding in support of this work was provided by an ENC grant from the Fonds de recherche du Québec - Nature et technologies (FRQNT). J.-F. Renaud also thanks the Institut de finance mathématique de Montréal (IFM2) for its financial support.

6. Proof of Theorem 2

We have for $x < h$

$$\begin{aligned} w(x) &= \mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\rho\int_0^{\mathbf{e}_\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{\{h<X_s<H\}}\mathrm{d}s+\gamma X_{\mathbf{e}_\alpha}}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\rho\int_0^{\mathbf{e}_\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{\{h<X_s<H\}}\mathrm{d}s+\gamma X_{\mathbf{e}_\alpha}}, \mathbf{e}_\alpha < \tau_h^+\right] + \mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\rho\int_0^{\mathbf{e}_\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{\{h<X_s\leq H\}}\mathrm{d}s+\gamma X_{\mathbf{e}_\alpha}}, \tau_h^+ \leq \mathbf{e}_\alpha\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{\gamma X_{\mathbf{e}_\alpha}}, \mathbf{e}_\alpha < \tau_h^+\right] + \mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\rho\int_0^{\mathbf{e}_\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{\{h<X_s\leq H\}}\mathrm{d}s+\gamma X_{\mathbf{e}_\alpha}}, \tau_h^+ \leq \mathbf{e}_\alpha\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x\left[\int_0^{\tau_h^+}\alpha\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha s+\gamma X_s}\mathrm{d}s\right] + \mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\rho\int_0^{\mathbf{e}_\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{\{h<X_s\leq H\}}\mathrm{d}s+\gamma X_{\mathbf{e}_\alpha}}, \tau_h^+ \leq \mathbf{e}_\alpha\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Applying Itô's formula to the process $\{\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha t+\gamma X_t}, t \geq 0\}$, we obtain that the process

$$\begin{aligned} M_t &:= \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha(t\wedge\tau_h^+)+\gamma X_{t\wedge\tau_h^+}} - \mathrm{e}^{\gamma X_0} - \int_0^{t\wedge\tau_h^+}\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha s}\left(-\alpha\mathrm{e}^{\gamma X_s} + \mathcal{L}\mathrm{e}^{\gamma X_s}\right)\mathrm{d}s \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha(t\wedge\tau_h^+)+\gamma X_{t\wedge\tau_h^+}} - \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x} - (G(\gamma)-\alpha)\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_h^+}\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha s+\gamma X_s}\mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$

is a local martingale starting from $M_0 = 0$. Since $G(\gamma) < \alpha$, it follows from Fubini's theorem that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha s+\gamma X_s}\mathrm{d}s\right] = \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha s}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\gamma X_s}\right]\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{(-\alpha+G(\gamma))s}\mathrm{d}s = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{(-\alpha+G(\gamma))t}-1}{(-\alpha+G(\gamma))} < \infty,$$

for all $t \geq 0$. So, using Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have that $\{M_t, t \geq 0\}$ is actually a martingale. In particular,

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tau_h^+ + \gamma X_{\tau_h^+}} - \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}\right] = (G(\gamma)-\alpha)\,\mathbb{E}_x\left[\int_0^{\tau_h^+}\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha s+\gamma X_s}\mathrm{d}s\right]. \tag{11}$$

Plugging (11) into (10), we get, by the strong Markov property of X and the lack-of-memory property of $\mathbf{e}_\alpha$, that

$$w(x) = \frac{\alpha}{G(\gamma)-\alpha}\left(\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tau_h^+ + X_{\tau_h^+}}\right] - \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}\right) + \mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tau_h^+}w\left(X_{\tau_h^+}\right)\right]. \tag{12}$$

Similarly, for $x > H$,

$$w(x) = \frac{\alpha}{G(\gamma)-\alpha}\left(\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tau_h^- + \gamma X_{\tau_h^-}}\right] - \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}\right) + \mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tau_h^-}w\left(X_{\tau_h^-}\right)\right], \tag{13}$$

and, for $h \leq x \leq H$,

$$w(x) = \frac{\alpha}{G(\gamma)-(\alpha+\rho)}\left(\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-(\alpha+\rho)\tau+\gamma X_\tau}\right] - \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}\right) + \mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-(\rho+\alpha)\tau}w\left(X_\tau\right)\right]. \tag{14}$$

Define the following:

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} w_1(x), & x \leq h, \\ w_2(x), & h < x < H, \\ w_3(x), & x \geq H, \end{cases}$$

Combining Theorem 1 with equations (12), (13) and (14), we get that $w(x)$ must be of the following form:

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\rho\int_0^{\mathbf{e}_\alpha}\mathbf{1}_{\{h<X_t<H\}}\mathrm{d}t+\gamma X_{\mathbf{e}_\alpha}}\right]=\begin{cases}\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}\omega_i^L\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha}(x-h)}-c_L\mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}, & x\le h,\\ -\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}\omega_i^0\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}(x-H)} & \\ \qquad -\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\nu_j^0\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{j,\alpha+\rho}(x-h)}-c_0\mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}, & h<x<H,\\ \sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\nu_j^U\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{j,\alpha}(x-H)}-c_U\mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}, & x\ge H,\end{cases} \tag{15}$$

with $\omega_i^L,\omega_i^0,\nu_j^0$ and ν_j^U to be determined. Now, we need equations to determine these coefficients.

Using again equations (12), (13) and (14), we have that $w(x)$ must satisfy

$$\left(\mathcal{L}-\alpha-\rho\mathbf{1}_{\{h<x<H\}}\right)w(x)=-\alpha\mathrm{e}^{\gamma x},\quad x\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{h,H\}. \tag{16}$$

Then, equation (16) can be rewritten as three separate equations in the regions $(-\infty,h),(h,H)$ and $(H,+\infty)$.

For $x<h$,

$$\begin{aligned}-\alpha\mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}=&\frac{\sigma^2}{2}w_1''(x)+\mu w_1'(x)-(\lambda+\alpha)w_1(x)\\&+\lambda\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{0}w_1(x+y)\sum_{j=1}^{n}q_j\theta_j\mathrm{e}^{\theta_j y}\mathrm{d}y+\int_{0}^{h-x}w_1(x+y)\sum_{j=1}^{m}p_j\eta_j\mathrm{e}^{-\eta_j y}\mathrm{d}y\right.\\&\qquad\left.+\int_{h-x}^{H-x}w_2(x+y)\sum_{j=1}^{m}p_j\eta_j\mathrm{e}^{-\eta_j y}\mathrm{d}y+\int_{H-x}^{+\infty}w_3(x+y)\sum_{j=1}^{m}p_j\eta_j\mathrm{e}^{-\eta_j y}\mathrm{d}y\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

For $h<x<H$,

$$\begin{aligned}-\alpha\mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}=&\frac{\sigma^2}{2}w_2''(x)+\mu w_2'(x)-(\lambda+\rho+\alpha)w_2(x)\\&+\lambda\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{h-x}w_1(x+y)\sum_{j=1}^{n}q_j\theta_j\mathrm{e}^{\theta_j y}\mathrm{d}y+\int_{h-x}^{0}w_2(x+y)\sum_{j=1}^{n}q_i\theta_i\mathrm{e}^{\theta_i y}\mathrm{d}y\right.\\&\qquad\left.+\int_{0}^{H-x}w_2(x+y)\sum_{j=1}^{m}p_j\eta_j\mathrm{e}^{-\eta_j y}\mathrm{d}y+\int_{H-x}^{+\infty}w_3(x+y)\sum_{j=1}^{m}p_j\eta_j\mathrm{e}^{-\eta_j y}\mathrm{d}y\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

And finally, for $x>H$,

$$\begin{aligned}-\alpha\mathrm{e}^{\gamma x}=&\frac{\sigma^2}{2}w_3''(x)+\mu w_3'(x)-(\lambda+\alpha)w_3(x)\\&+\lambda\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{h-x}w_1(x+y)\sum_{j=1}^{n}q_j\theta_j\mathrm{e}^{\theta_j y}\mathrm{d}y+\int_{h-x}^{H-x}w_2(x+y)\sum_{j=1}^{n}q_i\theta_i\mathrm{e}^{\theta_i y}\mathrm{d}y\right.\\&\qquad\left.+\int_{H-x}^{0}w_3(x+y)\sum_{j=1}^{n}q_i\theta_i\mathrm{e}^{\theta_i y}\mathrm{d}y+\int_{0}^{+\infty}w_3(x+y)\sum_{j=1}^{m}p_j\eta_j\mathrm{e}^{-\eta_j y}\mathrm{d}y\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Substituting the expression obtained in (15) into (17), (18) and (19), we get, for $x < h$,

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_j \eta_j \mathrm{e}^{\eta_j (x-h)} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{\omega_i^L}{\eta_j - \beta_{i,\alpha}} + \frac{\omega_i^0 \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}(h-H)}}{\eta_j - \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{\nu_i^0}{\eta_j + \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}} \right) - (c_L - c_0) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma h}}{\eta_j - \gamma} \right\}$$
$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_j \eta_j \mathrm{e}^{\eta_j (x-H)} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{\omega_i^0}{\eta_j - \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{\nu_i^0 \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}(h-H)}}{\eta_j + \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}} + \frac{\nu_i^U}{\eta_j + \gamma_{i,\alpha}} \right) - (c_L - c_0) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma H}}{\eta_j - \gamma} \right\}.$$

and, for $x > H$,

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j \theta_j \mathrm{e}^{\theta_j (h-x)} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{\omega_i^L}{\theta_j + \beta_{i,\alpha}} + \frac{\omega_i^0 \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}(h-H)}}{\theta_j + \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{\nu_i^0}{\theta_j - \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}} \right) - (c_L - c_0) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma h}}{\theta_j + \gamma} \right\}$$
$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j \theta_j \mathrm{e}^{\theta_j (H-x)} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{\omega_i^0}{\theta_j + \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{-\nu_i^0 \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}(h-H)}}{\theta_j - \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}} + \frac{\nu_i^U}{\theta_j - \gamma_{i,\alpha}} \right) - (c_L - c_0) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma H}}{\theta_j + \gamma} \right\}.$$

Therefore, the vector Q or, in other words, the coefficients $\{\omega_i^L, i = 1, \ldots, m+1\}$, $\{\omega_i^0, i = 1, \ldots, m+1\}$, $\{\nu_i^0, i = 1, \ldots, n+1\}$ and $\{\nu_i^U, i = 1, \ldots, n+1\}$ satisfy the following: for each $j = 1, \ldots, m$,

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{\omega_i^L}{\eta_j - \beta_{i,\alpha}} + \frac{\omega_i^0 \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}(h-H)}}{\eta_j - \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{\nu_i^0}{\eta_j + \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}} \right) - (c_L - c_0) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma h}}{\eta_j - \gamma},$$
$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{\omega_i^0}{\eta_j - \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{-\nu_i^0 \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}(h-H)}}{\eta_j + \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}} + \frac{\nu_i^U}{\eta_j + \gamma_{i,\alpha}} \right) - (c_L - c_0) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma H}}{\eta_j - \gamma},$$

and, for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{\omega_i^L}{\theta_j + \beta_{i,\alpha}} + \frac{\omega_i^0 \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}(h-H)}}{\theta_j + \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{\nu_i^0}{\theta_j - \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}} \right) - (c_L - c_0) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma h}}{\theta_j + \gamma},$$
$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{\omega_i^0}{\theta_j + \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{-\nu_i^0 \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}(h-H)}}{\theta_j - \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}} + \frac{\nu_i^U}{\theta_j - \gamma_{i,\alpha}} \right) - (c_L - c_0) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\gamma H}}{\theta_j + \gamma}.$$

In addition, we also have the following four equations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \omega_i^L - c_L \mathrm{e}^{\gamma h} = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} -\omega_i^0 \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\rho+\alpha}(h-H)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \nu_i^0 - c_0 \mathrm{e}^{\gamma h}, \tag{20}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \nu_i^U - c_U \mathrm{e}^{\gamma H} = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} -\omega_i^0 - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \nu_i^0 \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{i,\rho+\alpha}(H-h)} - c_0 \mathrm{e}^{\gamma H}, \tag{21}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \omega_i^L \beta_{i,\alpha} - c_L \gamma \mathrm{e}^{\gamma h} = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} -\omega_i^0 \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho} \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\rho+\alpha}(h-H)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \nu_i^0 \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho} - c_0 \gamma \mathrm{e}^{\gamma h}, \tag{22}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} -\nu_i^U \gamma_{i,\alpha} - c_U \gamma \mathrm{e}^{\gamma H} = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} -\omega_i^0 \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \nu_i^0 \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho} \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{i,\rho+\alpha}(H-h)} - c_0 \gamma \mathrm{e}^{\gamma H}. \tag{23}$$

Indeed, equations (20) and (21) are immediate from the fact that $w(x)$ is continuous at $x = h$ and $x = H$.

For the proofs of equations (22) and (23), note that we have, for $h < x < H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\alpha \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x} = {} & \frac{\sigma^2}{2} w_2''(x) + \mu w_2'(x) - (\lambda + \rho + \alpha) w_2(x) \\
& + \lambda \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{h-x} w_1(x+y) \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j \theta_j \mathrm{e}^{\theta_j y} \mathrm{d}y + \int_{h-x}^{0} w_2(x+y) \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_i \theta_i \mathrm{e}^{\theta_i y} \mathrm{d}y \right. \\
& \left. + \int_{0}^{H-x} w_2(x+y) \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_j \eta_j \mathrm{e}^{-\eta_j y} \mathrm{d}y + \int_{H-x}^{+\infty} w_3(x+y) \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_j \eta_j \mathrm{e}^{-\eta_j y} \mathrm{d}y \right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting expressions for $w_1(x)$, $w_2(x)$ and $w_3(x)$ yields, for $h < x < H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\alpha \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x} = {} & -\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}(x-H)} \omega_i^0 \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}^2 - \mu \beta_{i,\alpha+\rho} - (\lambda + \alpha + \rho) \right) \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}(x-h)} \nu_j^0 \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}^2 - \mu \gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho} - (\lambda + \alpha + \rho) \right) \\
& + \lambda \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{h-x} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha}(x+y-h)} \omega_i^L \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j \theta_j \mathrm{e}^{\theta_j y} \mathrm{d}y - \int_{h-x}^{0} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}(x+y-H)} \omega_i^0 \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j \theta_j \mathrm{e}^{\theta_j y} \mathrm{d}y \right. \\
& - \int_{h-x}^{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{i,\alpha+\rho}(x+y-h)} \nu_i^0 \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j \theta_j \mathrm{e}^{\theta_j y} \mathrm{d}y - \int_{0}^{H-x} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \omega_i^0 \mathrm{e}^{\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}(x+y-H)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_j \eta_j \mathrm{e}^{-\eta_j y} \mathrm{d}y \\
& - \int_{0}^{H-x} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \nu_j^0 \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{j,\alpha+\rho}(x-h)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_j \eta_j \mathrm{e}^{-y(\eta_j + \gamma_{j,\alpha})} \mathrm{d}y \\
& \left. + \int_{H-x}^{+\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \nu_j^U \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{j,\alpha}(x+y-H)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_j \eta_j \mathrm{e}^{-\eta_j y} \mathrm{d}y \right\} \\
& - c_0 \left(\mathcal{L} - \alpha - \rho \right) \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x} \\
& - \lambda \left\{ c_L \int_{-\infty}^{h-x} \mathrm{e}^{\gamma(x+y)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j \theta_j \mathrm{e}^{\theta_j y} \mathrm{d}y - c_0 \int_{-\infty}^{h-x} \mathrm{e}^{\gamma(x+y)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j \theta_j \mathrm{e}^{\theta_j y} \mathrm{d}y \right. \\
& \left. - c_0 \int_{H-x}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\gamma(x+y)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_j \eta_j \mathrm{e}^{-\eta_j y} \mathrm{d}y + c_U \int_{H-x}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\gamma(x+y)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_j \eta_j \mathrm{e}^{-\eta_j y} \mathrm{d}y \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{24}
$$

Since

$$
-c_0 \left(\mathcal{L} - \alpha - \rho \right) \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x} = -\alpha \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x},
$$

and

$$
G\left(\beta_{i,\alpha+\rho}\right) - \alpha - \rho = G\left(\gamma_{j,\alpha+\rho}\right) - \alpha - \rho = 0,
$$

then computing the second derivative of Equation (24) with respect to x yields (23) and (23).

The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

References

[1] N. Cai, *On first passage times of a hyper-exponential jump diffusion process*, Oper. Res. Lett. **37** (2009), no. 2, 127–134.

[2] N. Cai, N. Chen, and X. Wan, *Occupation times of jump-diffusion processes with double exponential jumps and the pricing of options*, Math. Oper. Res. **35** (2010), no. 2, 412–437.

[3] N Cai and S. G. Kou, *Option pricing under a mixed-exponential jump diffusion model*, Management Science **57** (2011), no. 11, 2067–2081.

[4] P. Carr and D. B. Madan, *Option valuation using the fast Fourier transform*, J. Comput. Finance **2** (1999), 61–73.

[5] Y.-T. Chen, Y.-C. Sheu, and M.-C. Chang, *A note on first passage functionals for hyper-exponential jump-diffusion processes*, Electron. Commun. Probab. **18** (2013), 1–8.

[6] D. Davydov and V. Linetsky, *Structuring, pricing and hedging double-barrier step options*, J. Comput. Finance **5** (2002), no. 2, 55–86.

[7] G. Fusai, *Corridor options and arc-sine law*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **10** (2000), no. 2, 634–663.

[8] H. Geman and M. Yor, *Pricing and hedging double-barrier options: A probabilistic approach*, Math. Finance **6** (1996), no. 4, 365–378.

[9] J.-N. Hugonnier, *The Feynman-Kac formula and pricing occupation time derivatives*, Int. J. Theor. Appl. Finance **2** (1999), no. 2, 153–178.

[10] S. Kou, G. Petrella, and H. Wang, *Pricing path-dependent options with jump risk via Laplace transforms*, The Kyoto Economic Review **74** (2005), no. 1, 1–23.

[11] S. G. Kou, *A jump-diffusion model for option pricing*, Management Science **48** (2002), no. 8, 1086–1101.

[12] K. S. Leung and Y. K. Kwok, *Distribution of occupation times for constant elasticity of variance diffusion and the pricing of α-quantile options*, Quant. Finance **7** (2007), no. 1, 87–94.

[13] V. Linetsky, *Step options*, Math. Finance **9** (1999), no. 1, 55–96.

[14] R. C. Merton, *Option pricing when underlying stock returns are discontinuous*, Journal of Financial Economics **3** (1976), 125–144.

[15] R. Miura, *A note on lookback options based on order statistics*, Hitotsubashi Journal of Commerce and Management **27** (1992), 15–28.

[16] A. Pechtl, *Distributions of occupation times of Brownian motion with drift*, J. Appl. Math. Decis. Sci. **3** (1999), no. 1, 41–62.

Quantact & Département de mathématiques, Université du Québec à Montréal (UQAM), 201 av. Président-Kennedy, Montréal (Québec) H2X 3Y7, Canada

E-mail address: ait_aoudia.djilali@courrier.uqam.ca

Département de mathématiques, Université du Québec à Montréal (UQAM), 201 av. Président-Kennedy, Montréal (Québec) H2X 3Y7, Canada

E-mail address: renaud.jf@uqam.ca